

Unlock the value of published and “grey” literature to build your foundation of evidence

Offerings include

Network Meta-Analysis

Understand relative effectiveness via a quantitative assessment of the available literature

Literature Reviews

Understand clinical, epidemiological, and/or health economic evidence via a systematic or targeted qualitative review of the available literature

Evidence Surveillance

Understand real-world outcomes by reviewing information from social media, pharmacovigilance feeds, market intelligence updates, and more

Evidence Mapping

Facilitate more efficient literature searching by mapping themes in existing literature

Rapid Literature Reviews

Conduct focused epidemiology and safety reviews to meet internal or external evidence needs in just days

STC and MAIC*

Overcome challenges of traditional indirect treatment comparison methods without compromising validity of results

Evidera advantages

IMPACT

- Powerful arguments on the state of a topic via identification and assessment of all relevant published evidence
- Evidence gap identification to prompt calls to action via a targeted assessment
- Compelling cases against further, redundant research by aggregating overabundant evidence into meta-analysis

STANDARDIZATION

- Review and analysis methods aligned with industry-accepted scientific standards
- Increased probability of publishing or incorporating review findings into regulatory or Health Technology Assessment (HTA) submissions

STUDY ATTRITION VALIDITY

- Support for external audits through rigorous adherence to quality control and method documentation
- Complete data set of unbiased material provided

CONTENT VALIDITY

- Assessment and interpretation of study eligibility, data extraction, and results by scientists and clinicians
- Expertise in identifying the most relevant data from vast publicly available sources

SPEED / EXPERIENCE

- Dedicated researchers with literature reviews as a primary focus; 35+ reviews completed annually
- Wealth of published articles already identified for timely and efficient results

*Simulated Treatment Comparisons (STC) and Matching-Adjusted Indirect Comparisons (MAIC)

Our Team & EXPERIENCE

— 30+ —

Researchers dedicated to literature reviews and evidence synthesis

— 100+ —

Peer-reviewed publications of literature review studies

— 35+ —

Reviews completed annually since 2007

— 30+ —

Reviews that have been incorporated into regulatory or HTA submissions

— 20+ —

Reviews in nearly every therapeutic area (e.g., 70+ reviews in oncology, 50+ in neurology, 40+ in cardiovascular)

Why EVIDERA?

— Flexibility —

Able to conduct a variety of reviews from rapid / targeted to full, systematic reviews suitable for regulatory submissions

— Rigor —

Full-scale, systematic reviews that may be published / cited

— Breadth —

Our teams work across Evidera modeling, data analytics, and dossier teams gain perspective and collect relevant inputs

— Insight —

Our experts provide insight and advanced analytics on literature review results (e.g., meta-analyses, mixed treatment comparisons)